Annex I

Guidelines for Evaluating Research Grants Application

The expert will look into the following aspects of the proposed project :

1.Objectives

	Yes	
	Inadequate/No	
1.1 Are the objectives of the proposal clear?		
1.2 Are the objectives realistic?		_
1.3 Are they achievable?		_
1.4 Are they central to the work plan proposed?	\vdash	_
1.5 Are there overlaps in objectives? Etc.)		-
If the response is 'Inadequate/No' for any of the above, please give additional comment	s.	
2.Methodology		
	Yes	
	quate/No	
2.1 Does the proposal have a clear research methodology?		
2.2 Does it describe / evaluate the source material that will be researched?		
2.3 Does the methodology is in accordance with relevant theoretical		_
concepts and ideas?		_
2.4 Does it include suitable research methods and techniques?		
2.5 Does it address possible ethical implications?		
If the response is 'Inadequate/No' for any of the above, please give additional comment	S	
3.Feasibility	YES NO	o
3.1 Does the proposal show that the research study is feasible?		_
3.2 Is the time frame realistic?		=
3.3 Are there any threats and risks that could be envisaged?		_
3.4 Are alternative plans necessary?		
3.4.1. If alternative plans are necessary are they Feasible /realistic?		
If the response is 'No' for any of the above please, give additional comments		

4.Resources					
4.1 Are the funds requested:	for equipm	ent, travellin	g, data collection, o	consumables and o	other justifiable?
	Yes	No		Yes	No
Equipment			Travelling		
Data collection			Consumable		
Other					
4.2 Are the human resources4.3 Are the Institutional contadequate as per the properties	tribution (C			· —	
If the response is 'No' for any	y & the abo	ove, please g	ive additional com	ments.	
				•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	•••••			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Adequacy of different categor	ories of the	budget:			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	•••••			•••••	
5. Originality of the resear	ch proposa	al in order t	o avoid repetition	of research done	already
				•••••	
6. Other acceptability of th	ie project			Yes	No
6.1 In the present form?	6.2 V	With minor r	evisions?	6.3 With major re	visions?
7. Additional Comments (I	f any)				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Signature of the evaluator			Date		
Name:					•••••

Designation: